## THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 BACKPAGE.COM, LLC, No. 2:12-cv-000954-RSM 10 Plaintiff, **STIPULATION AND** 11 and [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR 12 **ENTRY OF FINAL** THE INTERNET ARCHIVE, JUDGMENT ENJOINING 13 **ENFORCEMENT OF RCW** Plaintiff-Intervenor, **4.68A.104 (WASHINGTON** 14 SENATE BILL 6251) v. 15 ROB MCKENNA, Attorney General of the 16 State of Washington, et al. 17 Defendants, in their 18 official capacities. 19

## **STIPULATION**

The undersigned parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree that:

1. The Court will enter final judgment in this matter, permanently enjoining any enforcement or prosecution of any person under RCW 4.68A.104 (the codified version of Washington Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 6251, Chapter 138, Washington Laws of 2012), declaring that the statute is unconstitutional and violates federal law, and awarding costs and attorneys' fees to Plaintiffs, as set forth in paragraph 2, below.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF FINAL JUDGMENT ENJOINING ENFORCEMENT OF RCW 4.68A.104-1

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

27

- 2. Plaintiffs are entitled to receive an award of costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 in the amount of \$200,000, to be paid by the Office of the Attorney General acting in its official capacity on behalf of the State of Washington within thirty (30) days of entry of final judgment by the Court.
- 3. With this Stipulation, the undersigned parties hereby settle all claims and potential claims related to this litigation, and no party will appeal or otherwise challenge an order of the Court as set forth below or entry of final judgment in this matter.
- 4. After entry of final judgment in this matter, the Washington Attorney General's Office will work with the Washington State Legislature to repeal the current unconstitutional version of RCW 4.68A.104 from the Laws of the State of Washington.

## **ORDER**

Based on the foregoing Stipulation and the Court's prior orders, findings and conclusions in this action, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that:

- 1. Plaintiffs have standing to pursue the claims in this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 2. The Court declares that RCW 4.68A.104 (the codified version of Washington Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 6251, Chapter 138, Washington Laws of 2012):
  - a. Violates and is preempted by section 230 of the Communications Decency Act, 47 U.S.C. § 230;
  - b. Violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution because it lacks an appropriate element of scienter to avoid chilling protected speech;
  - c. Violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments because the law is unconstitutionally vague;
  - d. Violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments because the law is overbroad and not narrowly tailored to the State's asserted governmental interests; and

1	Presented and agreed to by:
2	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
3	_s/James C. Grant
4	James C. Grant, WSBA No. 14358 Ambika K. Doran, WSBA No. 38237
5	s/Elizabeth L. McDougall
6	Elizabeth L. McDougall, WSBA No. 27026
7	General Counsel, Village Voice Media Holdings, LLC
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Backpage.com, LLC
9	ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
10	s/Matthew Zimmerman
11	Matthew Zimmerman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
12	FOCAL PLLC
13	s/Venkat Balasubramani
14	Venkat Balasubramani, WSBA No. 28269
	Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor The Internet Archive
l5 l6	ROBERT M. MCKENNA, Attorney General of the State of Washington
17	_s/Lana Weinmann
	Lana Weinmann, WSBA No. 21393 Senior Assistant Attorney General
18	Semoi Assistant Attorney General
19	Attorney for Attorney General Robert M. McKenna
20	DANIEL T. SATTERBERG, King County Prosecuting Attorney
21	s/ David Eldred
22	David Eldred, WSBA No. 26125
23	Senior Deputy Prosecuting Attorneys
	Attorneys for Defendant Prosecuting Attorneys
24	
25	
26	

27